I	1			
1	TIMOTHY E. CARY, SBN 093608			
2	CaryT@Stutmanlaw.com LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT A. STUTMAN, P.C.			
3	1260 Corona Pointe Court, Suite 304 Corona, California 92879			
4	Telephone: (951) 387-4700 / Facsimile: (953)	1) 963-1298		
5	Attorneys for RESIDENCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY as Subrogee of			
6	MARIZOL HUDSON			
7	Krsto Mijanovic (Bar No. 205060) kmijanovic@hbblaw.com			
8	Steven A. Scordalakis (Bar No. 293212) sscordalakis@hbblaw.com			
9	HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP edocs@hbblaw.com			
10	555 South Flower Street Forty-Fifth Floor			
11	Los Angeles, CA 90071 O: 213-542-8000			
12	F: 213-542-8100			
13	Attorneys for Defendant BROAN-NUTONE, LLC			
14	BROAN-NOTOIL, LLC			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIF	ORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
17				
18	RESIDENCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY as Subrogee of MARIZOL HUDSON, Plaintiff, vs.	CASE NO. 2:23-cv-1198 JDP		
19		SECOND STIPULATION AND JOINT		
20		REQUEST TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON [Assigned for all Purposes to Magistrate Judge, Hon. Jeremy D. Peterson]		
21				
22				
23	corporation; NUTONE, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 25,			
24	Inclusive,			
25	Defendants.			
26				
27				
28	BM07-0000213			

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-1198 JDP

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4), Plaintiff RESIDENCE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY as Subrogee of MARIZOL HUDSON ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant BROAN-NUTONE, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant")(hereinafter, collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate, agree, and request that this Court modify the Pre-Trial Scheduling Order(s) (ECF Nos. 13 and 16) ("Scheduling Order(s)") to adjust the fact and expert discovery deadlines, and extend all applicable deadlines by approximately 90 days. Good cause exists as follows:

WHEREAS, on or about October 23, 2023, this Court issued its Scheduling Order (ECF No. 13).

WHEREAS, on or about April 4, 2024, this Court issued its Order Modifying the Scheduling Order pursuant to the parties stipulation. Therein, in relevant part, this Court ordered the following be completed:

September 13, 2024: Close of Fact Discovery (including last day to hear motions to compel on fact discovery)

October 11, 2024: Designation of Expert Witnesses

November 15, 2024: Designation of Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

December 13, 2024: Close of Expert Discovery

January 30, 2025: Last Day to Hear Dispositive Motions

WHEREAS, the Parties have exchanged initial disclosures and propounded written discovery on the other, but the need for a Protective Order arose. Thereafter, the Parties engaged in lengthy meet and confer and have since agreed-upon and submitted a Stipulation and [Proposed] Protective Order to this Court. Once the Protective Order is issued, the Parties will be in a position to provide responses to discovery and produce responsive documents.

BM07-0000213

15034750.1 2 CASE NO. 2:23-cv-1198 JDI

responding fire department personnel and two persons at home at the time of the fire.

234

1

567

8

9

101112

13

14

151617

19 20

21

18

2223

25

24

26 27 claim gives rise to Plaintiff's subrogation action. There have been delays, however, including difficulty effecting service on the two witnesses, as well as technical difficulties in accomplishing the remote depositions. Nonetheless, the Parties are working collectively to depose the two homeowners and anticipate completing the same within the next 30 days.

WHEREAS, the parties have also deposed several percipient witnesses, including

WHEREAS, the Parties also intend to depose the two homeowners whose insurance

WHEREAS, the Parties also anticipate the needs to depose the insured's Independent Adjuster, the contractor who performed repairs on the subject property, as well as Plaintiff's and Defendant's Person(s) Most Qualified. The parties have met and conferred as to the scope of these depositions and anticipate completing these depositions in the next 30days.

WHEREAS, the Parties also anticipate submitting their matter to private mediation. In order to avoid unnecessary cost and expense of expert discovery, however, the Parties request this Court modify the Scheduling Order such that fact discovery be completed, followed by designation of expert witnesses, and then the completion of expert discovery. This will allow the Parties to complete fact discovery and participate in a meaningful mediation without incurring the unnecessary cost of expert discovery.

WHEREAS, a brief continuance (90 days) will allow the Parties to complete the aforementioned written discovery (including responses and document production), the foregoing fact depositions, and submit this matter to private mediation in advance of designation of expert witnesses and the completion of expert discovery.

WHEREAS, this is the Parties' second request to modify the Scheduling Order, but the first request was due, in part, to a request to restructure the prior scheduling order (i.e., that designation of experts to follow completion of fact discovery), as well as a change in handling attorney for Defendant. In addition, the parties have working collectively to

28

BM07-0000213

1	resolve their disputes as to the protective order and to resolve scheduling and technology		
2	complications to accomplish the necessary discovery. No party will be prejudiced by the		
3	modification of the Scheduling Order and/or the requested brief continuance.		
4	THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree, and hereby request, that this Court		
5	modify the Scheduling Order and issue a new order such that the following should be		
6	completed:		
7	December 13, 2024: Close of Fact Discovery (including last day to hear motions to		
8	compel on fact discovery)		
9	January 10, 2025: Designation of Expert Witnesses		
10	January 31, 2025: Designation of Rebuttal Expert Witnesses		
11	February 28, 2025: Close of Expert Discovery		
12	April 25, 2025: Last Day to Hear Dispositive Motions		
13	Further Scheduling: Further Status Conference after the passage of the		
14	Dispositive Motion Deadline.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-1198 JDP

1	DATED: August 20, 2024	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT A. STUTMAN, P.C.
2		STOTMAN, T.C.
3		
4		By: /s/ Timothy E. Cary
5		Timothy E. Cary Attorneys for GENERAL INSURANCE
6		COMPANY OF AMERICA as Subrogee of
7		VINH DINH and NGOC TRUONG
8		
9	DATED: August 20, 2024	HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
10		
11		D
12		By: /s/ Steven A. Scordalakis Krsto Mijanovic
13		Steven A. Scordalakis
14		Attorneys for BROAN-NUTONE, LLC
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	BM07-0000213 15034750.1	5 CASE NO. 2:23-cv-1198 JE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation and Joint Request to Modify the Scheduling
Order, and having found good cause therefor, this Court hereby GRANTS the Parties
request and orders as follows:

December 13, 2024: Close of Fact Discovery (including last day to hear motions to compel on fact discovery)

January 10, 2025: Designation of Expert Witnesses

January 31, 2025: Designation of Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

February 28, 2025: Close of Expert Discovery

April 2524, 2025: Last Day to Hear Dispositive Motions

If appropriate, this Court will set a Further Status Conference after the passage of the Dispositive Motion Deadline.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>August 26, 2024</u>

JERÉMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 RM07.

BM07-0000213 15034750.1